UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,463	03/13/2006	Yoshiaki Iwata	2006_0283A	6611
	10/571,463 03/13/2006 Yoshiaki Iwata	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W.			POPHAM, JEFFREY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/571,463	IWATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2437				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2008.					
/ <u> </u>						
·=	<del>/ _</del>					
, <del></del>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 March 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060313</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/571,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 2437

### Remarks

Claims 1-12 are pending.

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 5 refers to "the address of the first authentication area" before any such address has been introduced in the claims. For purposes of prior art rejection, this has been construed as "an address of the first authentication area". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yahiro (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0037745) in view of Yamagami (U.S. Patent 7,240,197) and DeSota (U.S. Patent 6,295,584).

Regarding Claim 1,

Yahiro discloses a semiconductor memory card attachable and removable to and from electronic equipment, comprising:

A first rewritable memory (Figure 2; and Paragraphs 33 and 42);

A first access control unit for controlling access by the electronic equipment to the first rewritable memory (Paragraphs 32-35 and 54; as described in the specification, paragraphs 47, 50, 56, and 57, for example, these "units" comprise the CPU executing a program, and are not a separate physical entity);

A communication unit for controlling access by the electronic equipment to a storage device on a network which has a second rewritable memory (Figure 2; and Paragraphs 43 and 54-57);

A second access control unit for controlling access by the electronic equipment to the second rewritable memory via the communication unit (Paragraphs 32-35 and 54-57); and

A space unification unit for forming a virtual unified memory space by unifying a location of the first rewritable memory and a location of the second rewritable memory (Paragraphs 32-35 and 59-60);

But does not explicitly disclose that the first memory and second memory each comprise nonvolatile memory or that the locations are logical addresses.

Yamagami, however, discloses that the first memory and second memory each comprise rewritable nonvolatile memory (Column 2, line 52 to Column 3, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the encrypted remote storage system of Yamagami into the remote storage system of Yahiro in order to protect the data through encryption, such that data cannot be accessed by parties without the correct keys while in transit and/or storage, thereby increasing security of the system.

DeSota, however, discloses forming a virtual unified memory space by unifying a logical address of the first rewritable nonvolatile memory and a logical address of the second rewritable nonvolatile memory (Column 6, lines 6-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the memory map translation system of DeSota into the remote storage system of Yahiro as modified by Yamagami in order to allow the system to easily address locally and remotely stored information by use of addresses that appear to the local machine as being local addresses, thereby providing increased speed and reduced local bus traffic when accessing such information.

Regarding Claim 11,

Claim 11 is a method claim that corresponds to system claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding Claim 12,

Claim 12 is a storage medium claim that corresponds to system claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding Claim 3,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 1, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the communication unit stores an address of the storage device on the network (Figures 6-7; and Paragraph 59).

Regarding Claim 8,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 1, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the first nonvolatile memory includes a management area (Paragraphs 35, 69, 75, and 81);

The space unification unit writes information regarding the location of stored data into the management area (Paragraphs 35, 69, 75, and 81); and

The first access control unit and the second access control unit are each operable to receive a request for writing the data to the first nonvolatile memory or the second nonvolatile memory, and write the data to a storage area (Paragraphs 54-57); and

Yamagami discloses that the space unification unit allocates an address in the first nonvolatile memory or the second nonvolatile memory to data, and writes a data identifier for identifying the data

into the management area such that the identifier associated with the allocated address (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5); and that the first access control unit or the second access control unit receives a request for writing the data to the first nonvolatile memory or the second nonvolatile memory, and write the data to a storage area corresponding to the address allocated to the data (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5).

Regarding Claim 9,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 8, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the second access control unit receives a request for reading data, reads, from the management area, a location of the data on the second memory, and accesses the second memory via the communication unit to read out the data (Paragraphs 35, 75, and 81-86); and Yamagami discloses that the location comprises an address (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5).

Regarding Claim 10,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 8, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the second access control unit reads out a location of the second non-authentication area on which data is written from the management area, and accesses the location of the second non-authentication area to read out the encoded data via the

Yamagami discloses an encoding unit for generating an encoding key for encoding or decoding the data, and for encoding the data with the encoding key (Column 3, lines 19-38; and Column 4, lines 14-29), wherein the second access control unit reads out an address of the second non-authentication area on which data encoded with the encoding key is written from the management area, and accesses the address of the second non-authentication area to read out the encoded data via the communication unit (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5); and the first access control unit reads out an address of the first non-authentication area on which the encoding key is written from the management area, and accesses the address of the first non-authentication area to read out the encoding key (Column 3, line 19 to Column 4, line 4).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yahiro in view of Yamagami and DeSota, further in view of Pong (U.S. Patent 6,728,843).

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 1, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the second access control unit of semiconductor cards accesses data on the second memory (Paragraphs 32-35 and 54-57); but does not explicitly disclose a contention determination unit for determining whether data to

be accessed is being written or read by other entities and for starting, stopping, or delaying writing and/or reading based on the determination result.

Pong, however, discloses a contention determination unit for determining whether data to be accessed is being written or read by other entities and for starting, stopping, or delaying writing and/or reading based on the determination result (Column 3, line 65 to Column 4, line 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the parallel coherent memory access techniques of Pong into the remote storage system of Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota in order to allow the system to efficiently handle simultaneous access requests for the same data/address by use of priorities and authorization to ensure that only authorized entities can access data while allowing prioritization in requests such that high priority entities can access data more quickly.

5. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yahiro in view of Yamagami and DeSota, further in view of Kirkland (U.S. Patent 7,395,339).

Regarding Claim 4,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota does not explicitly disclose that the communication unit accesses the storage

device using identification information of the semiconductor memory card.

Kirkland, however, discloses that the communication unit accesses the storage device using identification information of the device with the access control units, which is the semiconductor memory card (Column 5, lines 32-38; and Column 8, lines 28-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the remote data access system of Kirkland into the remote storage system of Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota in order to ensure that both the user and device are authorized to access each particular piece of data before allowing access to such, thereby ensuring that unauthorized entities cannot fraudulently obtain access to such data.

# Regarding Claim 5,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami and DeSota discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 1, in addition, Yahiro discloses that the first access control unit controls access by the electronic equipment to the first non-authentication area and permits the access by the electronic equipment to the first authentication area (Paragraphs 32-35 and 54-57); and the second access control unit controls access by the electronic equipment to a second non-authentication area which is a predetermined storage

area included in the second nonvolatile memory (Paragraphs 32-35 and 54-57); and Yamagami discloses an encoding unit for generating an encoding key for encoding the data and for encoding the data with the encoding key (Column 3, lines 19-38; and Column 4, lines 14-29); that the first nonvolatile memory includes a first authentication area and a first non-authentication area which are predetermined storage areas (Column 3, lines 19-38); and that the space unification unit allocates an address of the second non-authentication area in the second nonvolatile memory to the data encoded with the encoding key, and allocates an address of the first authentication area in the first nonvolatile memory to the encoding key (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5); but does not explicitly disclose an authentication unit for verifying validity of the electronic equipment.

Kirkland, however, discloses an authentication unit for verifying validity of the electronic equipment (Column 5, lines 32-38; Column 8, lines 28-67; and Column 11, lines 7-16); and allowing access to storage when the authentication unit authenticates the validity of the electronic equipment (Column 5, lines 32-38; Column 8, lines 28-67; and Column 11, lines 7-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the remote data access system of Kirkland into the remote storage system of Yahiro as modified by Yamagami

and DeSota in order to ensure that both the user and device are authorized to access each particular piece of data before allowing access to such, thereby ensuring that unauthorized entities cannot fraudulently obtain access to such data.

Regarding Claim 6,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami, DeSota, and Kirkland discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 5, in addition, Yamagami discloses that the space unification unit determines which addresses of the first non-authentication area in the first nonvolatile memory and the second non-authentication area in the second nonvolatile memory are allocated to the data encoded with the encoding key, and allocates an address to the data in accordance with the determination (Column 3, line 39 to Column 4, line 5).

Regarding Claim 7,

Yahiro as modified by Yamagami, DeSota, and Kirkland discloses the semiconductor memory card of claim 5, in addition, Kirkland discloses that the second access unit permits access by the electronic equipment to a second authentication area which is a predetermined storage area in the second nonvolatile memory when the authentication unit authenticates validity of the electronic equipment (Column 5, lines 32-38; Column 8, lines 28-67; and Column 11, lines 7-16).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY D. POPHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-7215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571)272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,463 Page 13

Art Unit: 2437

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey D Popham Examiner Art Unit 2437

/Jeffrey D Popham/ Examiner, Art Unit 2437

/Matthew B Smithers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2437